Cu(II)-Catalyzed Olefin Migration and Prins Cyclization: Highly Diastereoselective Synthesis of Substituted Tetrahydropyrans

ORGANIC LETTERS 2011

Vol. 13, No. 16 4328–4331

Arun K. Ghosh* and Daniel R. Nicponski

Departments of Chemistry and Medicinal Chemistry, Purdue University, 560 Oval Drive, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States

akghosh@purdue.edu

Received June 21, 2011





Metal-ligand complexes of Cu(OTf)₂ with an appropriate bisphosphine ligand have been shown to effectively catalyze the formation of substituted tetrahydropyrans via a sequential olefin migration and Prins-type cyclization. This methodology provides convenient access to a variety of functionalized tetrahydropyrans in excellent diastereoselectivities and good to excellent yields.

Substituted tetrahydropyran rings are important structural motifs in a variety of bioactive natural products.^{1,2} These heterocycles are also frequently utilized in medicinal chemistry.³ As a result, numerous new strategies for the synthesis of tetrahydropyran units have been developed and utilized in the synthesis of bioactive compounds.⁴ Methods such as hetero-Diels–Alder reactions,^{5a} Prins reactions,^{5b} oxy-Michael reactions,^{5c} Petasis–Ferrier rearrangement,^{5d} and Maitland–Japp reactions^{5e} have been widely used for the synthesis of highly functionalized tetrahydropyrans.^{5,6} In our continuing studies to probe the active sites of various aspartic acid proteases with stereochemically defined, cyclic ether-derived ligands, we required a range of 2,3-disubstituted tetrahydropyran derivatives.⁷ Hosomi and co-workers have reported access to these functionalized tetrahydropyrans by a platinum-(II)-catalyzed condensation of 5-methyl-5-hexen-l-ol with aldehydes.⁸ This methodology appeared attractive because of the ready availability of the starting alkenols and aldehydes. However, the reported platinum(II)-catalyzed condensation required an elevated reaction temperature (100 °C).⁸ In an effort to carry out this transformation under more ambient conditions, we have investigated a variety of ligand-metal complexes under mild conditions. Herein, we report a Cu(OTf)₂-bisphosphine catalyzed sequential olefin migration and Prins cyclization of alkenols with a variety of aldehydes to provide 2,3-disubstituted and 2,3,6-trisubstituted tetrahydropyrans in a highly diastereoselective manner.

As shown in Scheme 1, we initially surveyed the reaction of 5-methyl-5-hexen-1-ol (1) with benzyloxyacetaldehyde

 ^{(1) (}a) Tan, L. T. *Phytochemistry* **2007**, *68*, 954–979. (b) Sanz, M. A.;
 Voigt, T.; Waldmann, H. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2006**, *348*, 1511–1515.
 (c) Elliott, M. C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **2002**, 2301–2323.

^{(2) (}a) Nakata, T. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 4314–4347. (b) Gademann, K.; Portmann, C. Curr. Org. Chem. 2008, 12, 326–341.

^{(3) (}a) Carrillo, R.; Leon, L. G.; Martin, T.; Martin, V. S.; Padron, J. M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2006**, *16*, 6135–6138. (b) Singh, P.; Bhardwaj, A. J. Med. Chem. **2010**, *53*, 3707–3717.

⁽⁴⁾ Tietze, L. F.; Kettschau, G.; Gewer, J. A.; Schuffenhauer, A. Curr. Org. Chem. **1998**, *2*, 19–62.

^{(5) (}a) Pellissier, H. *Tetrahedron* 2009, 65, 2839–2877. (b) Crane,
E. A.; Scheidt, K. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2010, 49, 8316–8326.
(c) Nising, C. F.; Brase, S. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2008, 1218–1228. (d) Smith,
A. B., III; Fox, R. J.; Razler, T. M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2008, 41, 675–687.
(e) Clarke, P. A.; Martin, W. H. C.; Hargreaves, J. M.; Wilson, C.; Blake,
A. J. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2005, 3, 3551–3563.

^{(6) (}a) Katritzsky, A. R. Ed. Comp. Heterocycl. Chem. 2008, 7, 419–700. (b) Santos, S.; Clarke, P. A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 2045.

^{(7) (}a) Ghosh, A. K. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 2163–2176. (b) Ghosh, A. K. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 7967–7989. (c) Ghosh, A. K.; Kumaragurubaran, N.; Hong, L.; Koelsh, G.; Tang., J. Curr. Alz. Res. 2008, 5, 121–131.

⁽⁸⁾ Miura, K.; Horiike, M.; Inoue, G.; Ichikawa, J.; Hosomi, A. Chem. Lett. 2008, 37, 270–271.

Scheme 1. Catalytic Diastereoselective Synthesis of Substituted Tetrahydropyrans



(2) in the presence of a number of metal-ligand complexes typically utilized in Prins-type cyclization reactions.⁹ As can be seen in Table 1, various metal triflate-catalyzed reactions (10 mol %, entries 1–4, and 11) at 100 °C provided only moderate yields of diastereomeric mixtures (dr > 20:1) of products **3a** and **3b**. In addition to the triflate in entry 4, we studied counterion effects at 100 °C in PhMe for 24 h. Both BF₄⁻ (18% yield of **3a**) and ClO₄⁻⁻ (0% yield of **3a**) showed poor results. The Cu(OTf)₂-catalyzed reaction in dichloroethane at 65 °C provided 26% yield of **3a** as the major product (entry 5). Interestingly, the addition of water or the attempted elimination of water (CaCl₂,

 Table 1. Survey of Metals and Ligands for the Formation of

 Substituted Tetrahydropyrans

entry	$catalyst(10\ mol\ \%)$	solvent	$temp\left(^{\circ}C\right)\left(time\left(h\right)\right)$	yield (%)
1	Pd(OTf) ₂	PhMe	111 (12)	29
2	$Ni(OTf)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	PhMe	100 (12)	24
3	Ni(PPh3)2(OTf)2	PhMe	100 (12)	31
4	Cu(OTf) ₂	PhMe	100 (15)	52
5	Cu(OTf) ₂	DCE	65(12)	26
6	Cu(dppe)(OTf) ₂	DCE	65(12)	37
$\overline{7}$	$Cu(L1)(OTf)_2$	DCM	25(120)	38
8	$Cu(L2)(OTf)_2$	DCM	25(168)	52
9	Cu(t-BuBOX)(OTf)2	DCM	25(144)	0
10	Cu(BINAP)(OTf)2	DCM	25(12)	80
11	Pt(BINAP)(OTf)2	PhMe	100(5)	55
12	Cu(MeCN)2(OTf)2	DCM	25(120)	58
13	$Cu(dppm)(OTf)_2$	DCM	25(12)	15
14	$Cu(dppe)(OTf)_2$	DCM	25(14)	43
15	Cu(DIOP)(OTf) ₂	DCM	25(72)	24
16	$Cu(TRIPhos)(OTf)_2$	DCM	25(168)	33
17	$Cu(L1)(OTf)_2$	DCM	40 (40)	64
18	$Cu(L2)(OTf)_2$	DCM	40 (40)	51

MgSO₄, and 4 Å MS) resulted in the cessation of this reaction.¹⁰ The reaction with Cu(dppe)(OTf)₂ in dichloroethane at 65 °C resulted in 37% yield after 24 h (entry 6). The Cu(OTf)₂-catalyzed reaction with ligand L2 in CH₂Cl₂ at 25 °C provided a slight improvement in the yield

(entry 8). Of particular note, the corresponding reaction with Cu(OTf)₂ in CH₂Cl₂ at 25 °C for 24 h provided no product formation. The reaction with Cu(BINAP)(OTf)₂ in CH₂Cl₂ at 25 °C afforded 80% yield of **3a** and **3b** (entry 10) The corresponding reaction with $Pt(BINAP)(OTf)_2$ required 100 °C to provide 3a and 3b in 55% yield (entry 11). We then examined Cu(OTf)₂ and a number of bisphosphine complexes (entries 12–18). Among them, metal-ligand complexes of Cu(OTf)₂-cis-1,2-bis-(diphenylphosphino)ethylene (L1) or Cu(OTf)₂-1,2-bis-(diphenylphosphino)benzene (L2) provided the best results at 40 °C (entries 17 and 18). Catalyst loading was found to be optimal at 15 mol %. Also, we found that 1.25 equiv of alkenol, 1 equiv of aldehyde in 0.1 M solution of CH₂Cl₂, provided best results. The Cu(II)catalyzed reaction with 2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'-binaphthyl also provided good conversion and vield. However, this reaction did not exhibit any enantioselectivity with the atropisomerically chiral BINAP. We therefore decided to use achiral ligands 4 (L1) and 5 (L2) and planned to investigate the scope and utility of this catalytic system using a range of aldehydes.

Table 2. Substrate Scope and Product Structure of Substituted

 Tetrahydropyrans

entry aldehyde product ^[a] ligand yie	(81)	dr ^[c]
1 BO $R_{-}R_{-}O$ $OR a R_{-}R_{-}$ L1 67 ((81)	<u>_20·1</u>
$2 \qquad 0 \qquad 2 \qquad 1 = 57$	(00)	>20:1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(82) (88)	16:1 13:1
$5 \frac{1}{6} = 8 R = H 0 9 R = H \frac{1}{12} \frac{92}{52} \frac{9}{52} R = H \frac{1}{12} \frac{92}{52} \frac{1}{52} \frac{1}{52}$	(97) (78)	>20:1 >20:1
$\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 8 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & R = NO_2 \\ 11 & R = NO_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 11 & 80 \\ L2 & 62 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix}$	(92) (84)	>20:1 >20:1
$\begin{array}{c} 9 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	(95) (90)	16:1 ^[d] 24:1 ^[d]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(99) (96)	>20:1 ^[d] >20:1 ^[d]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(66) (75)	>20:1 >20:1
$15 Ph_{n} = 1 Ph_{n}$	(76) (61)	>20:1 >20:1
17 20 n = 2 21 n = 2 L1 69 (18 L2 68 (68	(78) (71)	>20:1 >20:1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(95) (68)	>20:1 >20:1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(61) (68)	>20:1 >20:1
23 26 27 L1 38 ((39)	>20:1

^{*a*} Conditions: **1** (1.25 equiv), aldehyde (1 equiv), Cu(OTf)₂ (0.15 equiv), ligand (0.15 equiv), 0.1 M in CH₂Cl₂, 40 °C, 12–40 h. ^{*b*} Values shown in parentheses are brsm. ^{*c*} Determined by NMR. ^{*d*} GC–MS analysis.

⁽⁹⁾ Clarke, M. L.; France, M. B. *Tetrahedron* 2008, 64, 9003–9031.
(10) Tadpetch, K.; Rychnovsky, S. D. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4839–4842.

As can be seen from Table 2, a wide range of functionality is tolerated in this reaction. In the case of reaction with benzyloxyacetaldehyde (2), good yield and excellent diastereoselectivity were observed (entries 1 and 2). The corresponding reaction with tosyloxyacetaldehyde (entries 3 and 4) also provided good yield; however, the observed diastereomeric ratio was 16:1 with L1 and 13:1 with L2. Both benzaldehvde (8) and electron-poor 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (10) provided respective products 9 and 11 in good yield and excellent diastereoselectivity (entries 5-8). In the case of anthracene-9-carboxaldehyde (12), good yield and good diastereoselectivity were observed (entries 9 and 10). Thiophene-2-carboxaldehyde (14) is also a suitable substrate for this reaction, providing excellent yield and diastereoselectivity (entries 11 and 12). Conjugated aldehyde 16 (entries 13 and 14) or saturated arylalkyl aldehydes 18 and 20 (entries 15-18) also gave good results. Branched chain aliphatic aldehydes afforded good yields and excellent diastereoselectivity (entries 19–22). The reaction with *n*-butyraldehyde provided only moderate yield but excellent diastereoselectivity (entry 23). Attempted reaction with electron-rich *p*-anisaldehyde resulted in no appreciable cyclization product.



Figure 1. ¹H NOESY analysis of **7a** (*trans*-isomer) and **7b** (*cis*-isomer).

As shown, the *trans* isomer was the major product. Typically, only a single isomer was observed by NMR or GC–MS analysis. The reaction of 5-methyl-5-hexen-1-ol (1) with tosyloxyacetaldehyde (6) (entries 3 and 4) was found to provide chromatographically separable diastereoisomers 7a (*trans*-major) and 7b (*cis*-minor). The stereochemical assignment of these compounds was carried out by ¹H NMR NOESY experiments (Figure 1). The observed NOESY between H_a-H_d , H_b-H_c , H_c-H_f , and H_d-H_e for compound 7a is consistent with the assigned *trans*-stereochemistry. Similarly, the observed NOESY between H_b-H_c , H_c-H_f , and H_d-H_f supported the assigned *cis*-stereochemistry for compound 7b.

4330

For determination of the X-ray crystal structure, the major product 3 (entry 1) was subjected to hydrogenation under a hydrogen atmosphere in the presence of 10% Pearlman's catalyst in ethanol. This resulted in the saturation of the double bond as well as the removal of the benzyl protecting group.

The resulting alcohol was treated with *p*-nitrobenzoyl chloride and triethylamine to provide nitrobenzoate derivative **28**. This was later recrystallized from hexanes and CH_2Cl_2 (-20 °C, 14 days). Subsequent single crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 2) further supported the assignment of the *trans*-stereochemistry.^{11,12}



white = hydrogen, black = carbon, red = oxygen, and blue = nitrogen.



We have also carried out olefin migration and Prins cyclization using enantioenriched alcohol **29**, which was prepared by Corey–Bakshi–Shibata reduction¹³ of the corresponding ketone. Alcohol **29** was obtained in 89% ee. As shown in Scheme 2, reaction of alcohol **29** with benzyloxyacetaldehyde **2** provided **30a** diastereoselectively (dr > 20:1). The *trans*-isomer **30a** was obtained in 89% ee which indicated that the cyclization resulted in no loss of optical activity. Rychnovski and co-workers have shown that the condensation of alcohols with aldehydes in attempted 6-(2,5)-Prins reactions undergoes partial or complete racemization via an oxonia-Cope processes.¹⁴ This

⁽¹¹⁾ Single-crystal X-ray analysis was performed in-house. Dr. Phil Fanwick, X-Ray Crystallography Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, 47907.
(12) CCDC 830732 contains the supplementary crystallographic

⁽¹²⁾ CCDC 830732 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for Compound **28**. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif.

⁽¹³⁾ Corey, E. J.; Bakshi, R. K.; Shibata, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5551–5553.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Rychnovski, S. D.; Jasti, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13640–13648.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Zimmerman, H. E.; Traxler, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 1920–1923.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Mikami, K.; Shimizu, M. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 1021-1050.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Kocovsky, P.; Ahmed, G.; Srogl, J.; Malkov, A. V.; Steele, J. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2765–2775.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Gesinski, M. R.; Van Orden, L. J.; Rychnovsky, S. D. Synlett 2008, 3, 363–366.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Optically Active Tetrahydropyran



indicates that the present cyclization pathway does not involve a [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement.

The stereochemical outcome of the olefin migration and Prins cylization reactions which lead to trans-2,3-disubstituted tetrahydropyran derivatives can be rationalized based upon the Zimmerman-Traxler¹⁵ transition-state models shown in Figure 3. The Cu(OTf)₂-based Lewis acid catalyzed reaction could lead to the formation of an oxycarbenium ion.¹⁶ Subsequent olefin migration followed by cyclization may proceed through either favored transition state 32 or disfavored transition state 33. The proton abstraction beta to the carbocation would give rise to trans-2,3- and cis-2,3-tetrahydropyrans 34 and 35, respectively.^{17,18} The transition state **32** is favored due to the lack of developing pseudo-1,3-diaxial interactions, as is seen in 33. Consistent with the primary alkenol cyclizations, the secondary alkenol cyclization (29) also provided a 2,3,6-trans,trans-tetrahydropyran (30a) as the only detectable isomer.

In summary, we have developed a mild Cu(OTf)₂-bisphosphine-catalyzed reaction for the synthesis of substituted tetrahydropyran derivatives using 5-methyl-5-hexen-1-ol and an appropriate aldehyde. The reaction proceeded with an olefin migration followed by a Prins cyclization to provide a range of tetrahydropyran derivatives in



Figure 3. Stereochemical model for trans-selectivity.

good yields and excellent *trans*-diastereoselectivity. The combination of $Cu(OTf)_2$ and bisphophine ligands 4 and 5 has not been previously used in the synthesis of such substituted tetrahydropyran derivatives. Further application of these substituted tetrahydropyran derivatives are currently underway in our laboratory.

Acknowledgment. Financial support by the National Institutes of Health is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Professor Ei-ichi Negishi (Purdue University) for helpful discussions. We also thank Dr. Bruno D. Chapsal (Purdue University) for his help and David D. Anderson (Purdue University) for assistance with HPLC work.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.